SuperCDMS SNOLAB Solar axion searches



SNOLAB users meeting 2021/08/12

Ata Sattari PhD candidate

University of Toronto

 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •
 •

Ata Sattari - SNOLAB users meeting

SuperCDMS SNOLAB technology

Measuring electron and nuclear recoils (ER/NR) in Silicon and Germanium crystals.

 $ER \longrightarrow e/h pairs$

NR — e/h pairs + phonons

iZIP: Interleaved Z-dependent Ionization and Phonon

- Read out both charge and phonon channels.
- NR and ER discrimination for background rejection.

HV: High Voltage

- Read out only phonon channels.
- Higher energy resolution and lower threshold.



Solar axions detection

- Production: Photons -> Solar axions.
- Conversion: Solar axions -> Photons in the internal electric field of detector crystals.
- Detection: Negligible nuclear recoils, and 1-10 KeV photons producing photoelectric interactions in crystal detectors.





Solar axions signal

Periodic structure of Si/Ge.

-> Periodic electric field.

-> At some incident angles axionphoton conversion rate is higher. So, we expect a time and energy dependent signal.



1- Modified Maxwell's equations : Axions induce a current density in the crystal.

$$\boldsymbol{j}(\boldsymbol{x},t) = \frac{\eta}{M} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}(\boldsymbol{x}) \times \nabla \phi(\boldsymbol{x},t)$$

 $\varepsilon(x)$: Crystal internal electric field $\emptyset(x, t)$: Axion field

2- Current density generates electric field E.

 $oldsymbol{E} = \mathbb{G}(oldsymbol{k},\omega) \cdot oldsymbol{j}$

Axion-

photon

conversion

rate

G(k, w): Green's function derived from the Maxwell's equations.

3- Electric field extracts energy from the current density. The energy extraction rate should be proportional to absorption rate of photons in the crystal.

$$\frac{d\mathscr{E}}{dt} = -\int \langle \boldsymbol{j}(\boldsymbol{x},t) \cdot \boldsymbol{E}(\boldsymbol{x},t) \rangle \, d\boldsymbol{x} \qquad \frac{d\varepsilon}{dt} = \int_0^\infty E_\gamma \frac{dR(E_\gamma)}{dE_\gamma} dE_\gamma$$
Derived from the
Maxwell's equations
$$\xrightarrow{\mathbf{\bar{G}}_R(\boldsymbol{k},\omega)} = -\frac{4\pi^2 k^2}{\omega(k+\frac{\omega}{c})} L(k,\omega) \left(\mathbb{U} - \hat{\boldsymbol{k}}\hat{\boldsymbol{k}}\right) \qquad L(k,\omega) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\frac{\mu(\omega)}{2}}{(k-\frac{\omega}{c})^2 + \frac{\mu^2(\omega)}{4}}$$

$$\frac{1}{\mu} = \text{"skin depth"}$$

Absorption length limits

- Conventional method does not consider the electric field attenuation. That corresponds to assuming an infinite absorption length in this work. We analytically checked that.
- We performed numerical calculations with a realistic absorption length.
- The realistic absorption length doesn't have a significant effect on the detection rate of photons.



Daily averaged event rate example

Expected event rate on 2020/01/01





- Better insight by developing a new method to find the conversion rate of axions and subsequently detection rate of photons in crystal detectors.
- We can safely assume that a realistic absorption length would not have significant effects.
- Preparing an analysis framework to establish limits in axion like parameter space using the SuperCDMS SNOLAB data.

THANKS

Back up slides

Axion-photon conversion rate

There are various techniques to calculate the axion-photon conversion rate in crystals.

$$R(E_1, E_2) = \int_{E_1}^{E_2} dE_{ee} \int_0^\infty dE_\gamma \frac{dR}{dE_\gamma} (E_\gamma) \frac{1}{\Delta\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(E_{ee} - E_\gamma)^2}{2\Delta^2}}$$

$$Production rate$$

$$weighting function$$
(Resolution of detectors)

Writing the differential cross-section for the entire crystal (conventional method.)

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{g_{a\gamma\gamma}^2}{16\pi^2} F_a^2(\vec{q}) \sin^2(2\theta)$$

$$k: \text{ Momentum of axion}$$

$$q: \text{momentum transferred to the crystal}$$

$$\Phi: \text{electrostatic field potential whether a single nucleus or the entire crystal.}$$

$$F_a(\vec{q}) = k^2 \int d^3x \ \phi(\vec{x}) e^{i\vec{q}\cdot\vec{x}}$$

$$R(E_1, E_2) = (2\pi)^3 2c\hbar \frac{V}{v_a^2} \sum_G \frac{d\Phi}{dE_a}(E_a) \frac{1}{|\vec{G}|^2} \frac{g_{a\gamma\gamma}^2}{16\pi^2} |\sum_j F_{a,j}^0(\vec{G})S_j(\vec{G})|^2 \sin^2(2\theta) \mathcal{W}$$

$$R(E_1, E_2) = (2\pi)^3 2c\hbar \frac{V}{v_a^2} \sum_G \frac{d\Phi}{dE_a}(E_a) \frac{1}{|\vec{G}|^2} \frac{g_{a\gamma\gamma}^2}{16\pi^2} |\sum_j F_{a,j}^0(\vec{G})S_j(\vec{G})|^2 \sin^2(2\theta) \mathcal{W}$$
Bragg condition
$$\longrightarrow E_{Bragg} = \hbar c \frac{|G|^2}{2S.G}$$

Axion-photon conversion rate

Dividing the crystal into scattering planes and finding recurrence relations between reflected and transmitted axion/photon waves at each plane.



arXiv:<u>1709.03299</u>

Axion-photon conversion rate

- This method provides the ratio of $\frac{Final wave}{Initial wave}$ not the event rate inside the crystal.
- Good for shining through the wall experiments.



Electromagnetic waves in a medium

 $m{E} = \mathbb{G}(m{k},\omega) \cdot m{j}$ Electric field (G is the Green function)

$$e^{ikz} = e^{i\left(\frac{\omega}{c}\right)(n'+in'')z} = e^{i\left(\frac{\omega}{c}\right)n'z}e^{-\left(\frac{\omega}{c}\right)n''z}$$

$$I(z) \propto e^{-2\left(\frac{\omega}{c}\right)n''z} = e^{-\mu z}$$





X-ray absorption

- (1-10 KeV) Photons after conversion -> photoelectric scattering
- Absorption : Axion -> spectrum of photons from a single axion.
- No absorption : Axion -> Single photon (In reality no event but could be imagined to cause a single event.)
- Conventional method doesn't account for absorption.



Simple example: nucleus

Electron jump from L-shell to K-shell

The new method (Including absorption)

Given the Green function:

Detectors event rate:

$$R(E_1, E_2) = \int_{E_1}^{E_2} dE_{ee} \int_0^\infty dE_{\gamma} \frac{dR}{dE_{\gamma}} (E_{\gamma}) \frac{1}{\Delta\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(E_{ee} - E_{\gamma})^2}{2\Delta^2}}$$

We need the differential production rate of photons at E_{γ} ($\frac{dR}{dE_{\gamma}}$)

$$\frac{d^2\varepsilon}{dE_{\gamma}dt} = E_{\gamma}\frac{d^2N}{dE_{\gamma}dt} = E_{\gamma}\frac{dR(E_{\gamma})}{dE_{\gamma}} \longrightarrow \frac{d\varepsilon}{dt} = \int_0^{\infty} E_{\gamma}\frac{dR(E_{\gamma})}{dE_{\gamma}}dE_{\gamma}$$

Ata Sattari - SNOLAB users meeting

The new method (Including absorption)

dR

Differential production rate of photons
$$E_{\gamma}\left(\frac{dR}{dE_{\gamma}}\right)$$
:

$$\frac{dR}{dE_{\gamma}} = \frac{\Gamma}{\hbar c} \sum_{\mathbf{G}} \Theta\left((\hat{\mathbf{s}} \cdot \mathbf{G})^2 - G^2 + k^2\right) \left[\frac{\mathcal{M}(E_+)\Theta(E_+)}{\hbar ck + E_+} + \frac{\mathcal{M}(E_-)\Theta(E_-)}{\hbar ck + E_-}\right] \frac{k^2}{\sqrt{(\hat{\mathbf{s}} \cdot \mathbf{G})^2 - G^2 + k^2}}$$

$$\frac{E_{\pm}(k) = \hbar c(\hat{\mathbf{s}} \cdot \mathbf{G}) \pm \hbar c\sqrt{(\hat{\mathbf{s}} \cdot \mathbf{G})^2 - G^2 + k^2}}{\mathcal{M}(E, k, \mathbf{G}, \hat{\mathbf{s}}) = \mathcal{F}(E) f(\hat{\mathbf{k}}_{\mathbf{G}, \hat{\mathbf{s}}}(E), \mathbf{G}, \hat{\mathbf{s}}) L(k, E/\hbar)}$$
Parameters:

$$I \cdot \Gamma: \text{Constant.}$$
2. F(E): Flux of axions depending on the axion energy.
3. K: Photon momentum.
4. f: A function of the crystal structure factor, and the interaction form factor.
5. L: A function capturing the absorption length.

- The no-absorption limit is when "skin depth" $1/\mu \rightarrow \infty$. In this limit $L(k,\omega) \rightarrow \delta(k-\frac{\omega}{c})$ so, we get a mono-energetic photon per axion as we expected.
- Note that in the no-absorption limit we could reproduce the conventional event • rate.

$$R(E_1, E_2) = (2\pi)^3 2c\hbar \frac{V}{v_a^2} \sum_G \frac{d\Phi}{dE_a} (E_a) \frac{1}{|\vec{G}|^2} \frac{g_{a\gamma\gamma}^2}{16\pi^2} |\sum_j F_{a,j}^0(\vec{G}) S_j(\vec{G})|^2 \sin^2(2\theta) \mathcal{W}$$

and the interaction form

 $L(k,\omega) =$

 $\boldsymbol{\kappa}_{\boldsymbol{G},\hat{\boldsymbol{s}}}(E) \equiv \boldsymbol{G} +$

Conservation of momentum

Numeric calculation difficulties

To find the event rate including absorption we need to get numeric integrals:

$$\begin{split} R(E_1, E_2) &= \int_{E_1}^{E_2} dE_{ee} \int_0^\infty dE_\gamma \frac{dR}{dE_\gamma} (E_\gamma) \frac{1}{\Delta\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(E_{ee} - E_\gamma)^2}{2\Delta^2}} \\ \\ \frac{dR}{dE_\gamma} &= \frac{\Gamma}{\hbar c} \sum_{\mathbf{G}} \Theta((\hat{\mathbf{s}} \cdot \mathbf{G})^2 - G^2 + k^2) \left[\frac{\mathcal{M}(E_+)\Theta(E_+)}{\hbar ck + E_+} + \frac{\mathcal{M}(E_-)\Theta(E_-)}{\hbar ck + E_-} \right] \frac{k^2}{\sqrt{(\hat{\mathbf{s}} \cdot \mathbf{G})^2 - G^2 + k^2}} \end{split}$$

Integrand has sharp peaks around Bragg energies. To avoid numerical integration instabilities, we

modified the integration limits.

$$R(E_{1}, E_{2}) = \int_{E_{1}}^{E_{2}} dE_{ee} \sum_{E_{Bragg}} \int_{E_{Bragg}-\delta}^{E_{Bragg}+\delta} dE_{\gamma} \frac{dR(E_{\gamma})}{dE_{\gamma}} \frac{1}{\Delta\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(E_{ee}-E_{\gamma})^{2}}{2\Delta^{2}}}$$

Further we tested different integration methods to find the best option.

Integration methods:

1- Simpson's rule. (Big errors)

- 2- Gaussian quadrature. (Missing events)
- 3- GSL-QAGS adaptive integration with singularities. (Big errors)
- 4- GSL-QAG adaptive integration. (Big errors)
- 5- GSL-CQUAD doubly-adaptive integration. (Best between adoptive methods)